

# Verifiable Delay Functions from Isogenies and Pairings

Luca De Feo

joint work with J. Burdges, S. Masson, C. Petit, A. Sanso

Université Paris Saclay – UVSQ, France

July 13, 2019, SIAM AG, Bern

Slides online at <https://defeo.lu/docet>

# Tired of \*SIDH?

**Tired of \*SIDH?**

**Enough quantum FUD?**

**Tired of \*SIDH?**

**Enough quantum FUD?**

**Ready for a new buzzword?**



# BLOCKCHAIN

# Distributed lottery

Participants **A**, **B**, ..., **Z** want to agree on a random winning ticket.

## Flawed protocol

- Each participant  $x$  broadcasts a random string  $s_x$ ;
- Winning ticket is  $H(s_A, \dots, s_Z)$ .

# Distributed lottery

Participants **A, B, ..., Z** want to agree on a random winning ticket.

## Flawed protocol

- Each participant  $x$  broadcasts a random string  $s_x$ ;
- Winning ticket is  $H(s_A, \dots, s_Z)$ .

## Fixes

- Make the hash function **slooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooow**;

# Distributed lottery

Participants **A**, **B**, ..., **Z** want to agree on a random winning ticket.

## Flawed protocol

- Each participant  $x$  broadcasts a random string  $s_x$ ;
- Winning ticket is  $H(s_A, \dots, s_Z)$ .

## Fixes

- Make the hash function **sloooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooow**;
- Make it possible to verify  $w = H(s_A, \dots, s_Z)$  **fast**.



# Verifiable Delay Functions (Boneh, Bonneau, Bünz, Fisch 2018)

## Wanted

Function (family)  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  s.t.:

- Evaluating  $f(x)$  takes long time:
  - ▶ uniformly long time,
  - ▶ on almost all random inputs  $x$ ,
  - ▶ even after having seen many values of  $f(x')$ ,
  - ▶ even given massive number of processors;
- Verifying  $y = f(x)$  is efficient:
  - ▶ ideally, exponential separation between evaluation and verification.

# Verifiable Delay Functions (Boneh, Bonneau, Bünz, Fisch 2018)

## Wanted

Function (family)  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  s.t.:

- Evaluating  $f(x)$  takes long time:
  - ▶ uniformly long time,
  - ▶ on almost all random inputs  $x$ ,
  - ▶ even after having seen many values of  $f(x')$ ,
  - ▶ even given massive number of processors;
- Verifying  $y = f(x)$  is efficient:
  - ▶ ideally, exponential separation between evaluation and verification.

## Exercise

# Verifiable Delay Functions (Boneh, Bonneau, Bünz, Fisch 2018)

## Wanted

Function (family)  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  s.t.:

- Evaluating  $f(x)$  takes long time:
  - ▶ uniformly long time,
  - ▶ on almost all random inputs  $x$ ,
  - ▶ even after having seen many values of  $f(x')$ ,
  - ▶ even given massive number of processors;
- Verifying  $y = f(x)$  is efficient:
  - ▶ ideally, exponential separation between evaluation and verification.

## Exercise

Think of a function you like with these properties

# Verifiable Delay Functions (Boneh, Bonneau, Bünz, Fisch 2018)

## Wanted

Function (family)  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  s.t.:

- Evaluating  $f(x)$  takes long time:
  - ▶ uniformly long time,
  - ▶ on almost all random inputs  $x$ ,
  - ▶ even after having seen many values of  $f(x')$ ,
  - ▶ even given massive number of processors;
- Verifying  $y = f(x)$  is efficient:
  - ▶ ideally, exponential separation between evaluation and verification.

## Exercise

Think of a function you like with these properties

Got it?

# Verifiable Delay Functions (Boneh, Bonneau, Bünz, Fisch 2018)

## Wanted

Function (family)  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  s.t.:

- Evaluating  $f(x)$  takes long time:
  - ▶ uniformly long time,
  - ▶ on almost all random inputs  $x$ ,
  - ▶ even after having seen many values of  $f(x')$ ,
  - ▶ even given massive number of processors;
- Verifying  $y = f(x)$  is efficient:
  - ▶ ideally, exponential separation between evaluation and verification.

## Exercise

Think of a function you like with these properties

Got it?

**You're probably wrong!**

# Sequentiality

Ideal functionality:

$$y = f(x) = \underbrace{H(H(\dots(H(x))))}_{T \text{ times}}$$

- Sequential assuming hash output “unpredictability”,
- but how do you verify?

# VDFs from groups of unknown order

## Setup

A group of unknown order, e.g.:

- $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$  with  $N = pq$  an RSA modulus,  $p, q$  unknown (e.g., generated by some trusted authority),
- Class group of imaginary quadratic order.

## Evaluation

With delay parameter  $T$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f : G &\longrightarrow G \\ x &\longmapsto x^{2^T} \end{aligned}$$

Conjecturally, fastest algorithm is repeated squaring.

Verification (Wesolowski 2019, Pietrzak 2019)

# VDFs from groups of unknown order

## Setup

A group of unknown order, e.g.:

- $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$  with  $N = pq$  an RSA modulus,  $p, q$  unknown (e.g., generated by some trusted authority),
- Class group of imaginary quadratic order.

## Evaluation

With delay parameter  $T$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f : G &\longrightarrow G \\ x &\longmapsto x^{2^T} \end{aligned}$$

Conjecturally, fastest algorithm is repeated squaring.

Verification (Wesolowski 2019, Pietrzak 2019)

**Aha!**



## Isogeny <3 Pairing

Let  $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$ , let  $P \in E[N]$  and  $Q \in E'[N]$ . Then

$$e_N(P, \hat{\phi}(Q)) = e_N(\phi(P), Q)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 \times X_2 & \xrightarrow{\phi \times 1} & X_1 \times X_2 \\ \downarrow 1 \times \hat{\phi} & & \downarrow e_N \\ X_1 \times X_2 & \xrightarrow{e_N} & \mathbb{F}_{p^k} \end{array}$$

## Isogeny <3 Pairing

Let  $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$ , let  $P \in E[N]$  and  $Q \in E'[N]$ . Then

$$e_N(P, \hat{\phi}(Q)) = e_N(\phi(P), Q)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 \times X_2 & \xrightarrow{\phi \times 1} & X_1 \times X_2 \\ \downarrow 1 \times \hat{\phi} & & \downarrow e_N \\ X_1 \times X_2 & \xrightarrow{e_N} & \mathbb{F}_{p^k} \end{array}$$

### Idea #1

Use the equation for a BLS-like signature scheme: US patent 8,250,367 (Broker, Charles, Lauter).

# Isogeny VDF

Assume  $\deg \phi = 2^T$

$$e_N(\phi(P), \phi(Q)) = e_N(P, Q)^{2^T}$$

Right side: known group structure:  $2^T \rightarrow 2^T \bmod p^k - 1$ ;

Left side: can evaluate  $\phi$  in less than  $T$  steps?

# Isogeny VDF ( $\mathbb{F}_p$ -version)

## Setup

- Pairing friendly supersingular curve  $E/\mathbb{F}_p$
- Isogeny  $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$  of degree  $2^T$ ,
- Point  $P \in E[(N, \pi - 1)]$ , image  $\phi(P)$ .

## Evaluation

Input: random  $Q \in E'[(N, \pi + 1)]$ ,

Output:  $\hat{\phi}(Q)$ .

## Verification

$$e_N(P, \hat{\phi}(Q)) \stackrel{?}{=} e_N(\phi(P), Q).$$

# Isogeny VDF ( $\mathbb{F}_p$ -version)

## Trusted Setup

- Pairing friendly supersingular curve  $E/\mathbb{F}_p$   
**with unknown endomorphism ring!!!**
- Isogeny  $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$  of degree  $2^T$ ,
- Point  $P \in E[(N, \pi - 1)]$ , image  $\phi(P)$ .

## Evaluation

Input: random  $Q \in E'[(N, \pi + 1)]$ ,

Output:  $\hat{\phi}(Q)$ .

## Verification

$$e_N(P, \hat{\phi}(Q)) \stackrel{?}{=} e_N(\phi(P), Q).$$

# Sequentiality?

Wesolowski, Pietrzak:

$$x \longmapsto x^2$$

Isogenies:

$$x \longmapsto x \frac{x\alpha_i - 1}{x - \alpha_i}$$

No speedup? Even with unlimited parallelism? Really?

See Bernstein, Sorenson. Modular exponentiation via the explicit Chinese remainder theorem.



# Thank you

<https://defeo.lu/>



@luca\_defeo